arXiv:math/0507155v1 [math.OA] 7 Jul 2005

MULTIVARIABLE MOMENT PROBLEMS

GELU POPESCU

Abstract. In this paper we solve moment problems for Poisson transforms and, more generally, for completely positive linear maps on unital C^*-algebras generated by "universal" row contractions associated with $\mathbb{F}_n^+$, the free semigroup with n generators. This class of C^*-algebras includes the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ (resp. $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$) generated by the creation operators on the full (resp. symmetric, or anti-symmetric)) Fock space with n generators. As consequences, we obtain characterizations for the orbits of contractive Hilbert modules over complex free semigroup algebras such as $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, and, more generally, the quotient algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+/J$, where J is an arbitrary two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$.

All these results are extended to the generalized Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$, where G_i^+ are the positive cones of discrete subgroups G_i^+ of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we characterize the orbits of Hilbert modules over the quotient algebra $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$, where J is an arbitrary two-sided ideal of the free semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let H_n be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$, where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ or $n = \infty$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}1$ and $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n. Define the left creation operators $S_i : F^2(H_n) \to F^2(H_n),\ i = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$S_i \psi := e_i \otimes \psi,\ \ \psi \in F^2(H_n).$$

The noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ (resp. noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$) is the weakly-closed (resp. the non-selfadjoint norm-closed) algebra generated by $S_1, \dots, S_n$ and the identity. These algebras were introduced in [23] (see also [24]) in connection with a multivariable noncommutative von Neumann type inequality. Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the free semigroup with n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$ and neutral element g_0. The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha| := k$, if $\alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k}$, and $|\alpha| := 0$, if $\alpha = g_0$. We also define $e_\alpha := e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$ and $e_{g_0} = 1$. It is clear that $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $F^2(H_n)$. If $T_1, \dots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ (the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$), define $T_\alpha := T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_k}$, if $\alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k}$ and $T_{g_0} := I$.

Let $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be the C^*-algebra generated by $S_1, \dots, S_n$, the extension through compacts of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ (see [10]). A map $\mu : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is called Poisson transform

---

Date: January 7, 2002 (revised version: September 11, 2002).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A57, 42A82; Secondary: 46L07, 46L54 .

Key words and phrases. Cuntz-Toeplitz algebras, C^*-algebras, free semigroups, Hilbert modules, moment problems, Poisson transforms, positive semidefinite kernels, orbits.

The author was partially supported by an NSF grant.

on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)$ if it is a unital completely positive linear map such that

$$\mu(AX)=\mu(A)\mu(X),\qquad \text{for any } X\in\mathcal{A}_n\mathcal{A}_n^* \text{ and } A\in\mathcal{A}_n.$$

Notice that $\mu|\mathcal{A}_n$ is a completely contractive representation of the noncommutative disc algebra on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. It was shown in [26] that $\mu: C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a Poisson transform if and only if there is a row contraction $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, i.e.,

$$T_1T_1^*+\cdots+T_nT_n^*\le I,$$

such that

$$\mu(f)=\mu_T(f):=\lim_{r\to 1}K_r(T)^*[f\otimes I]K_r(T)$$

(in the uniform topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$), where the Poisson Kernel $K_r(T):\mathcal{H}\to F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}$, $0<r<1$, is defined by

$$K_r(T)h:=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} e_\gamma\otimes\left(r^{|\gamma|}\Delta_r(T)T_\gamma^*h\right),$$

and $\Delta_r(T):=(I-\sum_{i=1}^n r^2T_iT_i^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this case we have

$$\mu_T(S_\alpha S_\beta^*)=T_\alpha T_\beta^*,\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

According to the noncommutative dilation theory for row contractions (see [22], [26]), $\mu_T|\mathcal{A}_n^*$ is a subcoisometric representation on $\mathcal{A}_n^*$. On the other hand, if $[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ is a row isometry, then μ_T is a $*$-representation of the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)$.

In the particular case when $T_iT_j=T_jT_i$, for any $i,j=1,\dots,n$, a similar result is true (see [26]) if we replace the left creation operators S_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, by their compressions $B_i:=P_{F_s^2}S_i|F_s^2$, $i=1,\dots n$, to the symmetric Fock space $F_s^2\subset F^2(H_n)$. In this commutative setting, a linear map $\rho: C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a Poisson transform if and only if there is a row contraction $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ with commuting entries, such that

$$\rho(B_\alpha B_\beta^*)=T_\alpha T_\beta^*,\quad \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

We refer to [26] for more information on noncommutative (resp. commutative) Poisson transforms. The commutative case was extensively studied by Arveson in [6], where the creation operators $B_1,\dots,B_n$ on the symmetric Fock space F_s^2 are realized as multiplication operators by $z_1,\dots,z_n$ (a system of coordinate functions for $\mathbb{C}^n$) on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_n:\mathbb{B}_n\times\mathbb{B}_n\to\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_n(z,w):=\frac{1}{1-\langle z,w\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_n$ is the open unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Recently, the Poisson transforms have played a very important role in multivariable operator theory (see [26], [27], [28], [29], [6], [7], [8], [9], [2]). Moreover, they were essentially used in [3] and [4] to prove interpolation results of Nevanlinna-Pick type for the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the complex free semigroup algebra generated by $\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Any n-tuple $T_1,\dots,T_n$ of bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ gives rise to a Hilbert (left) module over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ in the natural way

$$f\cdot h:=f(T_1,\dots,T_n)h,\quad f\in\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+, h\in\mathcal{H},$$

and $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ is a row contraction iff $\mathcal{H}$ is a contractive $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$-module, i.e.,

$$\|g_1\cdot h_1+\cdots+g_n\cdot h_n\|^2\le\|h_1\|^2+\cdots+\|h_n\|^2,\quad h_1,\dots,h_n\in\mathcal{H}.$$

We remark that each row contraction T corresponds to a unique contractive Hilbert modules over the free semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$, and to a unique Poisson transform μ_T. A similar result holds true in the commutative case when the contractive Hilbert modules are considered over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, the complex unital algebra of all polynomials in n commuting variables (see [26], [28], [6], [7]). Recently, the curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$) was introduced and studied in [7] (resp. [28] and [15]). The Poisson transforms played a crucial role in connection with numerical invariants associated with Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$, the complex free semigroup algebra generated by the free semigroup on n generators (see [28] and [29]). We refer to [5], [20], [21] for basic facts concerning operator spaces and their completely positive (c.p.) (resp. bounded) maps.

In this paper we continue the study of Poisson transforms and, more generally, completely positive linear maps on unital C^*-algebras generated by "universal" row contractions, in connection with their moments. Our objective is to obtain analogues of some classical moment problems [1] in our multivariable setting.

We consider moment problems associated with any subset $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{F}_n^+$ which is admissible in the sense that if $\alpha\beta \in \Sigma$, then $\beta \in \Sigma$. A map $L : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$ (or its linear extension $L : \mathbb{C}\Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$) is called *operator-valued moment map* for Poisson transforms (resp. c.p. maps) on $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ if there is a Poisson transform (resp. c.p. map) $\mu : C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$L(p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)) = \mu(p(S_1, \ldots, S_n))L(g_0)$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma := \{\sum a_\sigma \sigma : \ a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$. We call μ a representing Poisson transform (resp. c.p. map) for L.

In Section 2, we solve the operator-valued (resp. vector-valued) moment problem for Poisson transforms on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$. As consequences, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Poisson transform (resp. $*$-representation) with prescribed restrictions. We also obtain a characterization for the orbits $\{f \cdot h : \ f \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, of contractive Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$, and show how to construct contractive Hilbert modules with prescribed orbits. More precisely, we characterize the orbits $\{T_\sigma h : \ \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ generated by row contractions $[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, we obtain a characterization of the orbits of the $*$-representations of the C^*-algebra $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$.

In Section 3, we solve the operator-valued (resp. vector-valued) moment problem for Poisson transforms on C^*-algebras $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ generated by universal row contractions $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ satisfying the commutation relations

$$B_j B_i = \lambda_{ji} B_i B_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

where $\lambda_{ji} \in \mathbb{C}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n$. We remark that if $\lambda_{ji} = 1$ (resp. $\lambda_{ji} = -1$), $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ are the creation operators on the symmetric (resp. anti-symmetric) Fock space. As a consequence (when $\lambda_{ji} = 1$), we characterize the orbits $\{f \cdot h : \ f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+\}, \ h \in \mathcal{H}$, of contractive Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$. On the other hand, the classical operatorial trigonometric moment problem [1] is extended to the commutative semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$. More precisely, we solve the operator-valued trigonometric moment problem for completely positive linear maps on the C^*-algebras $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ described above (see Theorem 3.3).

In Section 4, we present moment problems for quotients of the free semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Given a set $\mathcal{P}_h$ of homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$, we show that there is a universal row contraction $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ such that $p(B_1, \ldots, B_n) = 0$ for any $p \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Then we solve the moment problem for Poisson transforms on the unital C^*-algebra generated by $B_1, \ldots, B_n$, and the identity. This provides a characterization for the orbits of contractive Hilbert modules over the quotient algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+/J_h$, where J_h is the two-sided ideal generated by $\mathcal{P}_h$. On the other hand, we solve the operator-valued trigonometric moment problem for completely positive linear maps on $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ (see Theorem 4.4).

Finally, in Section 5, we show that all of our moment type results can be extended to the generalized Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$, where G_i^+ are the positive cones of discrete subgroups G_i of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we characterize the orbits of contractive Hilbert modules over the quotient algebra $\mathbb{C}*_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$, where J is an arbitrary two-sided ideal of the free semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. We mentioned that the generalized Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ coincides with the C*-algebra generated by the left regular representation of the free proguct semigroup $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$, when $n\geq 2$ and at least one subgroup G_i is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ (see [11]). These algebras were studied by many authors (see for example [14], [10], [12], [13], [19], [11], [16], and [17]).

2. Moment problems for the free semigroup $\mathbb{F}_n^+$

Let Σ be an arbitrary set. An operator-valued function $K:\Sigma\times\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is called Hermitian kernel if $K(\tau,\sigma)=K(\sigma,\tau)^*$. It is said to be positive semidefinite ($K\geq 0$) provided that

$$\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in\Sigma}\langle K(\sigma,\tau)h(\tau),h(\sigma)\rangle\geq 0$$

for all finitely supported functions $h:\Sigma\to\mathcal{H}$. If K_1,K_2 are operator-valued kernels on Σ we say that $K_1\leq K_2$ if and only if K_2-K_1 is positive semidefinite.

In this section we consider moment problems associated with any subset $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{F}_n^+$ which is admissible in the sense that if $\alpha\beta\in\Sigma$, then $\beta\in\Sigma$. A few examples that are of interest are the following:

(i) $\Sigma=\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is the full moment problem;
(ii) $\Sigma=\{\sigma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+:\ |\sigma|\leq m\},\ m\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, is the truncation of order m;
(iii) $\Sigma_\pi=\{\sigma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+:\ \varphi(\sigma)\in\Pi\}$, where Π is an admissible set for $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$ (see Section 3), is the abelian truncation;
(iv) $\Sigma=\{g_{i_1}g_{i_2}\cdots g_{i_k},\ g_{i_2}\cdots g_{i_k},\ldots,g_{i_k},g_0\}$ is the truncation generated by $\omega:=g_{i_1}g_{i_2}\cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_j\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$.

A map $L:\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$ (or its linear extension $L:\mathbb{C}\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$) is called operator-valued moment map for Poisson transforms on $C^*(S_1,\ldots,S_n)$ if there is a Poisson transform $\mu: C^*(S_1,\ldots,S_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$L(p(g_1,\ldots,g_n))=\mu(p(S_1,\ldots,S_n))L(g_0)$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1,\ldots,g_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma:=\{\sum a_\sigma\sigma:\ a_\alpha\in\mathbb{C},\ \sigma\in\Sigma\}$. We call μ a representing Poisson transform for L. For each admissible set $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{F}_n^+$, we define

$$\Lambda_\Sigma:=\{(\alpha,\beta):\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,\alpha\beta\in\Sigma\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Notice that if $(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma$ and $\sigma\leq\alpha$, i.e., $\alpha=\sigma\tau$ for some $\tau\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, then $(\alpha\backslash\sigma,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma$, where $\alpha\backslash\sigma:=\tau$. If $\tau\neq g_0$, denote $\sigma<\alpha$. We associate with each map $L:\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$ the Hermitian kernels $K_j:\Lambda_\Sigma\times\Lambda_\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$, $j=1,2$, defined by

$$K_1((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)):=L(\alpha\beta)^*L(\sigma\gamma) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$K_2((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)) := \begin{cases} L(\beta)^* L((\sigma\backslash\alpha)\gamma), & \text{if } \alpha \leq \sigma \\ L((\alpha\backslash\sigma)\beta)^* L(\gamma), & \text{if } \sigma < \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise }. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that K_1 is a positive semidefinite kernel. The main result of this section is the following operator-valued moment problem for Poisson transforms on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n^+$ be an admissible set and let $L : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator-valued map. Then L is a moment map for Poisson transforms on the C^*-algebra $C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)$ if and only if $K_1 \leq K_2$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mu : C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representing Poisson transform for L. Since $\mu|\mathcal{A}_n$ is multiplicative, we have, for any $(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_\Sigma$,

$$L(\alpha\beta) = \mu(S_{\alpha\beta})L(g_0) = \mu(S_\alpha)L(\beta).$$

Let $[V_1,\dots,V_n]$ be the minimal isometric dilation of the row contraction $[\mu(S_1),\dots,\mu(S_n)]$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ (see [22]). If $f : \Lambda_\Sigma \to \mathcal{H}$ is finitely supported, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} & \langle K_1((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma))f(\sigma,\gamma), f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle \\ &= \Big\| \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} L(\alpha\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\Big\|^2 \\ &= \Big\| \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \mu(S_\alpha)L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\Big\|^2 \\ &= \Big\| P_{\mathcal{H}} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} V_\alpha L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\Big\|^2 \\ &\leq \Big\| \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} V_\alpha L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\Big\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_1,\dots,V_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges and $P_{\mathcal{H}}V_\omega|\mathcal{H} = \mu(S_\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle V_\sigma L(\gamma)f(\sigma,\gamma), V_\alpha L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle &= \sum_{\alpha\leq\sigma} \langle V_{\sigma\backslash\alpha} L(\gamma)f(\sigma,\gamma), L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma<\alpha} \langle L(\gamma)f(\sigma,\gamma), V_{\alpha\backslash\sigma} L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\leq\sigma} \langle \mu(S_{\sigma\backslash\alpha}) L(\gamma)f(\sigma,\gamma), L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma<\alpha} \langle L(\gamma)f(\sigma,\gamma), \mu(S_{\alpha\backslash\sigma}) L(\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle K_2((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma))f(\sigma,\gamma), f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $K_1 \leq K_2$ and the direct implication is proved.

Conversely, assume $K_1 \leq K_2$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma}$ be the linear space of all finitely supported functions from Λ_Σ to $\mathcal{H}$. Since $K_2 \geq K_1 \geq 0$, we can define a semidefinite form on $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma}$ by

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{K_2} := \sum_{(\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle K_2((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma))f(\sigma,\gamma), f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, define $V_i : \mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma} \to \mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma}$ by $V_i f = \varphi$, where, for any $(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_\Sigma$,

$$\varphi(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} f(\gamma,\beta), & \text{if } \alpha = g_i\tau \\ 0, & \text{otherwise .}\end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Define $\Lambda_0 := \{(\tau,\beta) \in \Lambda_\Sigma : g_i\tau\beta \in \Sigma\}$ and notice that

$$K_2((g_i\tau,\beta),(g_i\tau',\gamma)) = K_2((\tau,\beta),(\tau',\gamma)),$$

if $(g_i\tau,\beta),(g_i\tau',\gamma) \in \Lambda_\Sigma$. Notice that if f is supported on Λ_0, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V_i f, V_i f\rangle_{K_2} &= \sum_{(\tau,\beta),(\tau',\gamma)\in\Lambda_0} \langle K_2((g_i\tau,\beta),(g_i\tau',\gamma))\varphi(g_i\tau',\gamma), \varphi(g_i\tau,\beta)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{(\tau,\beta),(\tau',\gamma)\in\Lambda_0} \langle K_2((\tau,\beta),(\tau',\gamma))f(\tau',\gamma), f(\tau,\beta)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle f, f\rangle_{K_2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if f is supported on $\Lambda_\Sigma\backslash\Lambda_0$, then $\langle V_i f, V_i f\rangle_{K_2} = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma}/\mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the subspace of null vectors in the seminorm $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{K_2}$. Now, V_i can be extended to a partial isometry on $\mathcal{K}$, which we denote also by V_i. Notice that the range of V_i is contained in the closed span of functions supported on

$$\{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_\Sigma : \ \alpha = g_i\tau \text{ for some } \tau \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}.$$

According to the definition of K_2, we have

$$K_2((g_i\tau,\beta),(g_j\tau',\gamma)) = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

Hence, the partial isometries V_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, have orthogonal ranges and therefore,

$$V_1V_1^* + \cdots + V_nV_n^* \leq I. \tag{2.5}$$

Define $X : \mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma} \to \mathcal{H}$ by

$$Xf := \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} L(\alpha\beta)f(\alpha,\beta), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma}. \tag{2.6}$$

Notice that, since $K_1 \leq K_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Xf\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} L(\alpha\beta)f(\alpha,\beta)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle K_1((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma))f(\sigma,\gamma), f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \sum_{(\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle K_2((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma))f(\sigma,\gamma), f(\alpha,\beta)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle f, f\rangle_{K_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, X extends to a contraction from $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{H}$, which we denote also by X. We need to show that, if $\sigma \in \Sigma, h \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$X^* L(\sigma)h = \psi_{(g_0,\sigma),h}, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\psi_{(g_0,\sigma),h}(\alpha,\beta) := \begin{cases} h, & \text{if } \alpha = g_0,\ \beta = \sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\Lambda_\Sigma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, X^* L(\sigma)h\rangle_{K_2} &= \langle Xf, L(\sigma)h\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{(\omega,\eta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle L(\omega\eta) f(\omega,\eta), L(\sigma)h\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{(\omega,\eta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle K_2((g_0,\sigma),(\omega,\eta)) f(\omega,\eta), h\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta),(\omega,\eta)\in\Lambda_\Sigma} \langle K_2((\alpha,\beta),(\omega,\eta)) f(\omega,\eta), \psi_{(g_0,\sigma),h}(\alpha,\beta)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle f, \psi_{(g_0,\sigma),h}\rangle_{K_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, define $T_i := XV_iX^* \in B(\mathcal{H}),\ \ i = 1,\dots,n$. Since X is a contraction and relation (2.5) holds we infer that

$$T_1T_1^* + \cdots + T_nT_n^* \le I. \tag{2.8}$$

Using relation (2.7), we deduce that for any $\sigma \in \Sigma, h \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$V_iX^*L(\sigma)h = \psi_{(g_i,\sigma),h}, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\psi_{(g_i,\sigma),h}(\alpha,\beta) := \begin{cases} h, & \text{if } \alpha = g_i,\ \beta = \sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

By relations (2.7) and (2.6), we obtain

$$T_iL(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} L(g_i\sigma)h, & \text{if } g_i\sigma \in \Sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Now, it is clear that this relation implies

$$T_\omega L(g_0)h = T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_k}L(g_0)h = L(g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_k})h, \tag{2.10}$$

for any $\omega := g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_k} \in \Sigma$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence, $T_\omega L(g_0) = L(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in \Sigma$.

Let $\mu : C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the Poisson transform associated with the row contraction $[T_1,\dots,T_n]$. Since $\mu(S_\alpha) = T_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, relation (2.10) implies

$$L(p(g_1,\dots,g_n)) = \mu(p(S_1,\dots,S_n))L(g_0)$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1,\dots,g_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subspace of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n^+$ be an admissible set. Given a map $\Gamma : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{H})$, there is a Poisson transform $\mu : C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\Gamma(p(g_1,\dots,g_n)) = \mu(p(S_1,\dots,S_n)\Gamma(g_0)$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ *in* $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$*, if and only if* $K_1 \leq K_2$*, where the kernels* K_1, K_2 *are associated with the operator-valued map* $L : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$ *defined by*

$$L(\sigma) := \Gamma(\sigma) P_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ *is the orthogonal projection from* $\mathcal{H}$ *onto* $\mathcal{M}$*.*

In particular, if $\Gamma(g_0)$ is the inclusion of $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{H}$, then we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Poisson transform $\mu : C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ with prescribed restriction to $\mathcal{M}$, i.e.,

$$\Gamma(p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)) = \mu(p(S_1, \ldots, S_n)|\mathcal{M}$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$.

Now, we can obtain a vector-valued version of Theorem 2.1. A map $M : \Sigma \to \mathcal{H}$ (or its linear extension $M : \mathbb{C}\Sigma \to \mathcal{H}$) is called *vector-valued moment map* for Poisson transforms on $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ if there is a Poisson transform $\mu : C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$M(p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)) = \mu(p(S_1, \ldots, S_n))M(g_0)$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$. We call μ a representing Poisson transform for M. We associate with each map $M : \Sigma \to \mathcal{H}$ the hermitian kernels $K_j : \Lambda_\Sigma \times \Lambda_\Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, 2$, defined by

$$K_3((\alpha, \beta), (\sigma, \gamma)) := \langle M(\sigma\gamma), M(\alpha\beta) \rangle \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$K_4((\alpha, \beta), (\sigma, \gamma)) := \begin{cases} \langle M((\sigma \backslash \alpha)\gamma), M(\beta) \rangle, & \text{if } \alpha \leq \sigma \\ \langle M(\gamma), M((\alpha \backslash \sigma)\beta) \rangle, & \text{if } \sigma < \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n^+$ *be an admissible set and let* $M : \Sigma \to \mathcal{H}$ *be a map with values in a Hilbert space. Then* M *is a vector-valued moment map for Poisson transforms on* $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ *if and only if* $K_3 \leq K_4$*.*

Proof. In Corollary 2.2, take $\mathcal{M} := \operatorname{span}\{M(g_0)\}$ and let $\Gamma(g_0)$ be the inclusion of $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{H}$. The result follows noticing that, in this particular case, $K_1 \leq K_2$ if and only if $K_3 \leq K_4$. □

As mention in the introduction, in the particular case when $\Sigma = \mathbb{F}_n^+$, Theorem 2.3 provides a characterization for the orbits $\{f \cdot h : f \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, of contractive Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$. In what follows, we will characterize the orbits of $*$-representations of the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n^+$ *be an admissible set and let* $L : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$ *be an operator-valued map. Then there is a* $*$*-representation* $\pi : C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{E})$*,* $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{H}$*, such that*

$$L(p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)) = \pi(p(S_1, \ldots, S_n)L(g_0) \tag{2.13}$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ *in* $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$*, if and only if* $K_1 = K_2$*.*

Proof. If $\pi : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{E})$ is a a $*$-representation on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{H}$, then $\pi(g_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are isometries with orthogonal ranges. Then, for any $(\alpha, \beta), (\sigma, \gamma) \in \Lambda_\Sigma$ and $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$, relation (2.13) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\langle K_1((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma))h, h'\rangle &= \langle L(\sigma\gamma)h, L(\alpha\beta)h'\rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(S_\sigma)L(\gamma)h, \pi(S_\alpha)L(\beta)h'\rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle \pi(S_{\sigma\backslash\alpha})L(\gamma)h, L(\beta)h'\rangle, & \text{if } \alpha \leq \sigma \\ \langle L(\gamma)h, \pi(S_{\alpha\backslash\sigma})L(\beta)h'\rangle, & \text{if } \sigma < \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \langle K_2((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma))h, h'\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $K_1 = K_2$ and the direct implication is proved.

Conversely, assume $K_1 = K_2$. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is easy to see that, in this case, the operator $X : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}$ is an isometry and $T_i := XV_iX^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are now partial isometries with orthogonal ranges. Moreover, the initial space of V_i is the closed span of functions supported on

$$\Lambda_0 := \{(\tau, \beta) \in \Lambda_\Sigma : \ g_i\tau\beta \in \Sigma\}.$$

Taking into account the definition of the isometry X (see (2.6)), we deduce that the initial space of T_i is

$$\text{span}\{L(\sigma)h : \ g_i\sigma \in \Sigma, \ h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Let $[W_1, \dots, W_n]$ be the minimal isometric dilation of $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{H}$. Since T_i is a partial isometry, it is clear that $W_i x = T_i x$ when x is in the initial space of T_i. Hence

$$W_iL(\sigma)h = T_iL(\sigma)h \tag{2.14}$$

if $g_i\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\pi : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{E})$ be the $*$-representation generated by $\pi(S_i) = W_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Fix an arbitrary element $\omega := g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k}$ in Σ. Since Σ is admissible, the elements $g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_k}$, $g_{i_3} \dots g_{i_k}, \dots, g_{i_k}$, and g_0 are in Σ. A repeated application of the relations (2.14) and (2.10) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}W_\omega L(g_0)h &= W_{i_1} \cdots W_{i_k} L(g_0)h \\ &= W_{i_1} \cdots W_{i_{k-1}} T_{i_k} L(g_0)h = W_{i_1} \cdots W_{i_{k-1}} L(g_{i_k})h \\ &\cdots\cdots \\ &= L(g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k})h.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\pi(S_\omega)L(g_0) = L(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in \Sigma$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Given $L : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$, where Σ is an admissible set in $\mathbb{F}_n^+$, define the Hermitian kernels $K_1', K_2' : \Sigma \times \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting $K_1'(\sigma, \tau) := L(\sigma)^*L(\tau)$ and

$$K_2'(\sigma, \tau) := \begin{cases} L(\tau\backslash\sigma), & \text{if } \sigma \leq \tau \\ L(\sigma\backslash\tau)^*, & \text{if } \tau < \sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.5. *$K_1 = K_2$ if and only if $K_1' = K_2'$.*

Proof. If $K_1 = K_2$, then by setting $\beta = \gamma = g_0$ in relations (2.2) and (2.3), we infer $K_1' = K_2'$. Conversely, assume $K_1' = K_2'$. Using the observation that $\omega\sigma \leq \omega\alpha$ if and only if $\sigma \leq \alpha$, we infer that $K_1'(\omega\sigma, \omega\alpha) = K_1'(\sigma, \alpha)$ for any $\omega\sigma, \omega\alpha \in \Sigma$, and $K_1'(g_i\sigma, g_j\alpha) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $g_i\sigma, g_j\alpha \in \Sigma$. Now, using these relations, it is easy to see that, for any $(\alpha, \beta), (\sigma, \gamma) \in \Lambda_\Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_1((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)) &= K_1'(\alpha\beta, \sigma\gamma) \\ &= \begin{cases} K_1'(\beta, (\sigma\backslash\alpha)\gamma), & \text{if } \alpha \leq \sigma \\ K_1'((\alpha\backslash\sigma)\beta, \gamma), & \text{if } \sigma < \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= K_2((\alpha,\beta),(\sigma,\gamma)), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Consequently, one can reformulate Theorem 2.4 in terms of the kernels K_1' and K_2'. We should mention that one can also get an analogue of Corollary 2.2 for $*$-representations of $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$. Moreover, as a particular case, we obtain the following vector-valued version of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_n^+$ be an admissible set and let $M : \Sigma \to \mathcal{H}$ be a map. Then there is a $*$-representation $\pi : C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{E})$, $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{H}$, such that*

$$M(p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)) = \pi(p(S_1, \ldots, S_n)M(g_0)$$

for any polynomial $p(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$, if and only if $K_3 = K_4$.

We associate with each map $M : \Sigma \to \mathcal{H}$ the hermitian kernels $K_j' : \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$, $j = 3, 4$, defined by

$$K_3'((\alpha, \sigma) := \langle M(\sigma), M(\alpha)\rangle \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$K_4'((\alpha, \sigma) := \begin{cases} \langle M(\sigma\backslash\alpha), M(g_0)\rangle, & \text{if } \alpha \leq \sigma \\ \langle M(g_0), M(\alpha\backslash\sigma)\rangle, & \text{if } \sigma < \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

One can easily prove a result similar to Remark 2.5 for the kernels K_3', K_4', and reformulate Theorem 2.6 in terms of these new kernels. We leave this task to the reader.

3. MOMENT PROBLEMS FOR THE COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUP $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$

Let $\mathbb{Z}^+$ be the set of nonnegative integers and

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^+ := \{\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) : \ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, j = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

If $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+$, we denote $|\mathbf{k}| := k_1 + \cdots + k_n$, and if $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, is an n-tuple of operators, then we set $T^{\mathbf{k}} := T_1^{k_1} \cdots T_n^{k_n}$. Let $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ be the complex unital algebra of all polynomials in n commuting variables. For any $\Pi \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^+$, denote

$$\mathbb{C}[\Pi] := \{p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n] : \ p = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\Pi} a_{\mathbf{k}} z^{\mathbf{k}}\},$$

where $z^{\mathbf{k}} := z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_n^{k_n}$. Identifying each $\mathbf{k} \in \Pi$ with $z^{\mathbf{k}}$ we can consider Π as a subset of $\mathbb{C}[\Pi]$. The set $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$ is endowed with the product order $\ll$. We call a set $\Pi \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^+$ admissible if $\mathbf{k} \in \Pi$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+$, and $\mathbf{m} \ll \mathbf{k}$, imply $\mathbf{m} \in \Pi$. A few examples that are of interest are the following:

(i) $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}_n^+$ is the full moment problem;
(ii) $\Pi = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+ : \ |\mathbf{k}| \leq m\}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, is the truncation of order m;
(iii) $\Pi = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+ : \ \mathbf{k} \ll \mathbf{m}\}$ is the truncation of order $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+$.
(iv) $\Pi = \{\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+ : \ \operatorname{card}\{j : \ k_j \neq 0\} \leq p\}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

A map $\Gamma : \Pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$ (or its linear extension $\Gamma : \mathbb{C}[\Pi] \to B(\mathcal{H})$) is called *operator-valued moment map* for Poisson transforms on the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ if there is a Poisson transform $\rho : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\Gamma\big(\sum a_{\mathbf{k}} z^{\mathbf{k}}\big) = \rho\big(\sum a_{\mathbf{k}} B^{\mathbf{k}}\big)\Gamma(\mathbf{0}) \tag{3.1}$$

for any polynomial $\sum a_{\mathbf{k}} z^{\mathbf{k}}$ in $\mathbb{C}[\Pi]$, where $B := (B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. We call ρ a representing Poisson transform for Γ.

There is a canonical homomorphism φ of $\mathbb{F}_n^+ = \mathbb{Z}^+ * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}^+$ onto $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$ such that it is the identity on each $\mathbb{Z}^+$. Notice that if Π is an admissible set for $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$, then

$$\Sigma_\pi := \{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ : \ \varphi(\sigma) \in \Pi\}$$

is an admissible set for $\mathbb{F}_n^+$. We associate with each map $\Gamma : \Pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$ a map $L_\Gamma : \Sigma_\pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting $L_\Gamma(\sigma) := \Gamma(\varphi(\sigma))$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Π be an admissible set in $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$ and let $\Gamma : \Pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator-valued map. Then Γ is a moment map for Poisson transforms on the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ if and only if $K_1 \leq K_2$, where the kernels K_1 and K_2 are associated with the admissible set $\Sigma_\pi \subset \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and the map $L_\Gamma : \Sigma_\pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$, as defined by* (2.2) *and* (2.3).

Proof. Assume $\rho : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a representing Poisson transform for Γ. According to (3.1), for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_{\Sigma_\pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Gamma(\alpha\beta) &= \Gamma(\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta)) \\ &= \rho(B^{\varphi(\alpha)+\varphi(\beta)})\Gamma(\mathbf{0}) \\ &= \rho(B^{\varphi(\alpha)})\rho(B^{\varphi(\beta)})\Gamma(\mathbf{0}) \\ &= \rho(B^{\varphi(\alpha)})\Gamma(\varphi(\beta)) \\ &= \mu(S_\alpha)L_\Gamma(\beta), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mu : C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is the noncommutative Poisson transform associated with the row contraction $[\rho(B_1), \ldots, \rho(B_n)]$, i.e.,

$$\mu(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = \rho(B^{\varphi(\alpha)} B^{\varphi(\beta)^*}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, μ is a representing Poisson transform for L_Γ. According to Theorem 2.1, we infer that $K_1 \leq K_2$.

Conversely, assume that $K_1 \leq K_2$. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, we find the operators $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H}), \ i = 1, \ldots, n$, defined by $T_i := XV_iX^*$ with property that

$$T_1T_1^* + \cdots + T_nT_n^* \leq I.$$

Due to the definition of X (see (2.6)), its range is included in the subspace

$$\mathcal{M} := \operatorname{span}\{L_\Gamma(\sigma)h : \ \sigma \in \Sigma_\pi, \ h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$T_i|\mathcal{M}^\perp = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, according to the definition of T_i, if $\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$T_iT_jL_\Gamma(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} L_\Gamma(g_ig_j\sigma)h, & \text{if } g_ig_j\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have

$$T_jT_iL_\Gamma(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} L_\Gamma(g_jg_i\sigma)h, & \text{if } g_jg_i\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Due to the definition of Σ_π, $g_ig_j\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi$ if and only if $g_jg_i\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi$. On the other hand, according to the definition of L_Γ, we have $L_\Gamma(g_ig_j\sigma)h = L_\Gamma(g_jg_i\sigma)h$, and therefore $T_iT_j|\mathcal{M} = T_jT_i|\mathcal{M}$. Now, using relation (3.4), we get

$$T_iT_j = T_jT_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ is a row contraction with commuting entries, according to Theorem 9.2 from [26], there is a unique Poisson transform $\rho : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\rho(B^{\mathbf{k}}B^{\mathbf{m}*}) = T^{\mathbf{k}}T^{\mathbf{m}*}, \quad \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+, \tag{3.5}$$

where $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$. For each $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \Pi$, let $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} := g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_n^{k_n} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and notice that $\varphi(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = \mathbf{k}$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{k} \in \Pi$, then $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \in \Sigma_\pi$ and, according to (2.10), we have

$$L_\Gamma(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) = \mu(S_{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}})L_\Gamma(g_0), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mu : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is the noncommutative Poisson transform associated with the row contraction $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$. Using the definition of L_Γ and relations (3.5), (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(B^{\mathbf{k}})\Gamma(\mathbf{0}) &= T_1^{k_1} \cdots T_n^{k_n} L_\Gamma(g_0) \\ &= \mu(S_{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}})L_\Gamma(g_0) = L_\Gamma(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ &= \Gamma(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathbf{k} \in \Pi$. The proof is complete. □

Let us remark that an analogue of Corollary 2.2 holds also in this commutative case. We associate with any map $N : \Pi \to \mathcal{H}$ a map $M_N : \Sigma_\pi \to \mathcal{H}$ by setting $M_N(\sigma) := N(\varphi(\sigma))$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi$. One can obtain a vector-valued version of Theorem 3.1. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 and uses Theorem 3.1. We should omit it.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Π be an admissible set in $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$ and let $N : \Pi \to \mathcal{H}$ be a map with values in a Hilbert space. Then N is a vector-valued moment map for Poisson transforms on the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ if and only if $K_3 \le K_4$, where the kernels K_3 and K_4 are associated with the admissible set $\Sigma_\pi \subset \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and the map $M_N : \Sigma_\pi \to \mathcal{H}$, as defined by* (2.11) *and* (2.12).

Notice that, in the particular case when $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}_n^+$, Theorem 3.2 provides a characterization for the orbits $\{f \cdot h : f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+\}$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, of contractive Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$.

A $B(\mathcal{H})$-valued semispectral measure on $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ is a linear positive map from $C(\mathbb{T})$, the set of continous functions on the unit circle, into $B(\mathcal{H})$. Since $C(\mathbb{T})$ is commutative μ is completely positive. The truncated operatorial trigonometric moment problem asks if, given the operators $A_k \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, $(A_0 = I)$, there exists a semispectral measure on $\mathbb{T}$ such that $A_k = \mu(e^{ikt})$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. The answer is provided in terms of the positivity of an associated Toeplitz operator. See [1] for more information on the classical moment problem. A non-commutative analogue of this problem was obtained in [25] for the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)$.

In what follows we will find a multivariable commutative analogue of this problem. The place of the multiplication by e^{it} is taken by the operators $B_1,\dots,B_n$ on the symmetric Fock space $F_s^2(H_n)$, and the place of $C(\mathbb{T})$ is taken by the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$. The Fourier coefficients of a map Φ from $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$ are given by the evaluations $\Phi(B^{\mathbf{k}})$, $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}_n^+$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Π be an admissible set in $\mathbb{Z}_n^+$ and let $\Gamma:\Pi\to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator-valued map with $\Gamma(0)=I$. Then Γ is a moment map for a completely positive linear map $\Phi: C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if the kernel $K:\Sigma_\pi\times\Sigma_\pi\to B(\mathcal{H})$ given by*

$$K(\sigma,\tau):=\begin{cases}\Gamma(\varphi(\tau\backslash\sigma)), & \textit{if } \sigma\leq\tau\\ \Gamma(\varphi(\sigma\backslash\tau))^*, & \textit{if } \tau<\sigma\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

is positive semidefinite.

Proof. Assume $\Phi: C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely positive map such that $\Phi(B^{\mathbf{k}})=\Gamma(\mathbf{k})$ for any $\mathbf{k}\in\Pi$. Let $\gamma: C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)\to C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ be the Poisson transform associated with the row contraction $[B_1,\dots,B_n]$, i.e., $\gamma(S_\alpha S_\beta^*)=B_\alpha B_\beta^*$, $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Then $\Phi\circ\gamma: C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely positive map such that $(\Phi\circ\gamma)(I)=I$ and

$$(\Phi\circ\gamma)(S_\sigma)=\Phi(B_\sigma)=\Gamma(\varphi(\sigma)),\quad \sigma\in\Sigma_\pi. \tag{3.7}$$

By Stinespring's theorem [30], there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}\supset\mathcal{H}$ and a $*$-representation π of $C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)$ on $\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$(\Phi\circ\gamma)(A)=P_{\mathcal{H}}\pi(A)|\mathcal{H},\quad A\in C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n). \tag{3.8}$$

Notice that the operators $V_i:=\pi(S_i)$, $i=1,\dots,n$, are isometries with orthogonal ranges. Hence, and using relations (3.7) and (3.8), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathcal{H}}V_\sigma^*V_\tau|\mathcal{H}&=\begin{cases}P_{\mathcal{H}}\pi(\tau\backslash\sigma)|\mathcal{H}, & \text{if } \sigma\leq\tau\\ P_{\mathcal{H}}\pi(\sigma\backslash\tau)^*|\mathcal{H}, & \text{if } \tau<\sigma\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\\ &=K(\sigma,\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $f:\Sigma_\pi\to\mathcal{H}$ is a finitely supported function, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in\Sigma_\pi}\langle K(\sigma,\tau)f(\tau),f(\sigma)\rangle&=\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in\Sigma_\pi}\langle V_\tau f(\tau),V_\sigma f(\sigma)\rangle\\ &=\|\sum_{\tau\in\Sigma_\pi}V_\tau f(\tau)\|^2\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose the kernel K is positive semidefinite and define a semidefinite form on $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma_\pi}$, the space of all finitely supported functions from Σ_π to $\mathcal{H}$, by

$$\langle f,\psi\rangle_K:=\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in\Sigma_\pi}\langle K(\sigma,\tau)f(\tau),\psi(\sigma)\rangle. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma_\pi}/\mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is the subspace of null vectors in the seminorm determined by (3.9). Since $K(g_0,g_0)=I$, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ imbeds isometrically in $\mathcal{K}$ by identifying h with the equivalence class of the function $\sigma\mapsto \delta_{g_0}(\sigma)h$, where $\delta_{g_0}(\sigma)=1$ if $\sigma=g_0$, and 0 otherwise. For each $i=1,\ldots,n$, define $T_i:\mathcal{K}\to\mathcal{K}$ by

$$(T_if)(t):=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi}\delta_{g_i\sigma}(t)f(\sigma) \tag{3.10}$$

for any $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma_\pi}$ and $t\in\Sigma_\pi$. Notice that T_i is a partial isometry and its range is contained in the closed span of functions supported on

$$\mathcal{R}_i:=\{\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi:\ \sigma=g_i\tau \text{ for } \tau\in\Sigma_\pi\}.$$

The definition of K implies that the subspaces $\mathcal{R}_i,\ \ i=1,\ldots,n$, are pairwise orthogonal. Therefore, $[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$ is a row contraction. Define

$$\mathcal{E}:=\bigvee_{\tau\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,\ i,j=1,\ldots,n} T_\tau(T_iT_j-T_jT_i)\mathcal{K}$$

and $\mathcal{G}:=\mathcal{K}\ominus\mathcal{E}$. Since the subspace $\mathcal{E}$ is invariant under each $T_i,\ \ i=1,\ldots,n$, it follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is invariant under each $T_i^*,\ \ i=1,\ldots,n$. Notice that according to (3.10), for any $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma_\pi}$, we have

$$[(T_iT_j-T_jT_i)f](t)=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi}[\delta_{g_ig_j\sigma}(t)-\delta_{g_jg_i\sigma}(t)]f(\sigma). \tag{3.11}$$

Hence, if $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma_\pi}$ and $h\in\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle h, T_\tau(T_iT_j-T_jT_i)f\rangle_K\\ &\qquad=\sum_{\omega,t\in\Sigma_\pi}\left\langle K(t,\omega)\delta_{g_0}(\omega)h,\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi}[\delta_{\tau g_ig_j\sigma}(t)-\delta_{\tau g_jg_i\sigma}(t)]f(\sigma)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &\qquad=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi}\langle K(\tau g_ig_j\sigma,g_0)h,f(\sigma)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi}\langle K(\tau g_jg_i\sigma,g_0)h,f(\sigma)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}},\end{aligned}$$

if both $\tau g_ig_j\sigma$ and $\tau g_jg_i\sigma$ are in Σ_π, and 0 otherwise. Due to the definition of the set Σ_π, we have that $\tau g_ig_j\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi$ if and only if $\tau g_jg_i\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi$. Moreover, in this case, due to the definition of K, we get $K(\tau g_ig_j\sigma,g_0)=K(\tau g_jg_i\sigma,g_0)$. Hence, $\langle h,T_\tau(T_iT_j-T_jT_i)f\rangle_K=0$ for any $\tau\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\Sigma_\pi}$. Therefore, h is orthogonal to $\mathcal{E}$, which shows that $\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{G}$.

Define $T_i':=P_{\mathcal{G}}T_i|\mathcal{G},\ \ i=1,\ldots,n$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is invariant under each $T_i^*,\ \ i=1,\ldots,n$, and $[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$ is a row contraction, we deduce that $[T_1',\ldots,T_n']$ is also a row contraction and

$$T_i'T_j'=T_j'T_i',\qquad \text{for any } i,j=1,\ldots,n.$$

Now, for any $\sigma\in\Sigma_\pi$ and $h,h'\in\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_\sigma' h,h'\rangle_K&=\langle T_\sigma h,h'\rangle_K\\ &=\sum_{\omega,t\in\Sigma_\pi}\left\langle K(t,\omega)\delta_\sigma(\omega)h,\delta_{g_0}(t)h'\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &=\langle K(g_0,\sigma)h,h'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &=\langle\Gamma(\varphi(\sigma))h,h'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Let $\mu : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{G})$ be the Poisson transform associated with the row contraction $[T_1', \ldots, T_n']$, i.e.,

$$\mu(B^{\mathbf{k}} B^{\mathbf{m}*}) = T'^{\mathbf{k}} T'^{\mathbf{m}*}, \text{ for any } \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $\Phi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the compression $\Phi(A) := P_{\mathcal{H}} \mu(A)|\mathcal{H}$, $A \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. Clearly Φ is a unital completely positive linear map. Using relations (3.12) and (3.13), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(B^{\mathbf{k}}) h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}} \mu(B^{\mathbf{k}}) h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle T'^{\mathbf{k}} h, h' \rangle_K \\ &= \langle \Gamma(\mathbf{k}) h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathbf{k} \in \Pi$ and $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$, where $B := (B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. Therefore, $\Phi(B^{\mathbf{k}}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{k})$ for any $\mathbf{k} \in \Pi$. The proof is complete. □

Consider $\lambda_{ji} \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and let J_λ be the WOT-closed, two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by $\{e_j \otimes e_i - \lambda_{ji} e_i \otimes e_j : \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Denote $\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda} := F^2(H_n) \ominus J_\lambda F^2(H_n)$, and $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}} S_i | \mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. According to [4] (see Example 3.3), if $[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ is a row contraction satisfying the commutation relations

$$T_j T_i = \lambda_{ji} T_i T_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \tag{3.14}$$

then there is a unique Poisson transform $\Phi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Phi(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Therefore, $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ is the universal row contraction satisfying (3.14). Notice that, by (3.14), for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ such that $\varphi(\sigma) = \mathbf{m}$, there is a unique "signature" $\epsilon(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}$ (which can be expressed in terms of λ_{ji} [4]) such that $T_\sigma = \epsilon(\sigma) T^{\mathbf{m}}$. Now define

$$L_\Gamma(\sigma) := \epsilon(\sigma) \Gamma(z^{\mathbf{m}}), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+, \ \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \ \varphi(\sigma) = \mathbf{m}.$$

Using this definition for L_Γ, all the results of this section can be extended to this more general setting, with slight adjustments of the proofs. Let us remark that if $\lambda_{ji} = 1$ (resp. $\lambda_{ji} = -1$), $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ are the creation operators on the symmetric (resp. anti-symmetric) Fock space.

4. MOMENT PROBLEMS FOR QUOTIENTS OF THE FREE SEMIGROUP ALGEBRA $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$

Let $\mathcal{P}_h$ be a set of homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$. We recall that $p = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \alpha_j$, $a_j \neq 0$, is a homogeneous polynomial if $|\alpha_j| = |\alpha_1|$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Let J be the WOT-closed, two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by the polynomials $\{p(S_1, \ldots, S_n) : \ p \in \mathcal{P}_h\}$. Denote $\mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus J F^2(H_n)$, and define $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} S_i | \mathcal{N}_J$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Throughout this section, $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_n$) is the C^*-algebra (resp. non-selfadjoint norm closed algebra) generated by $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ and the identity.

Using the results from [26] and [4], we can prove the following result. We only sketch the proof.

Theorem 4.1. *A map $\mu : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a Poisson transform if and only if there is a row contraction $[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, with $p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0$ for any homogeneous polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_h$, such that*

$$\mu(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Proof. Suppose $[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, is a row contraction with $p(T_1,\dots,T_n)=0$ for any $p\in\mathcal{P}_h$. Let $K_r(T)$ be the Poisson kernel associated with $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, as defined in the introduction. Then, for any homogeneous polynomial $p=\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j\in\mathcal{P}_h$, $\omega,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $k,h\in\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle K_r(T)k, S_\omega p(S_1,\dots,S_n)e_\beta\otimes h\rangle = r^{|\alpha_1|+|\omega|+|\beta|}\langle k, T_\omega p(T_1,\dots,T_n)T_\beta h\rangle=0.$$

Since the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ is the WOT-closure of the polynomials in $S_1,\dots,S_n,I$, it is easy to see that

$$\langle K_r(T)k, fp(S_1,\dots,S_n)g\otimes h\rangle=0$$

for any $f\in F_n^\infty, g\in F^2(H_n)$, and $p\in\mathcal{P}_h$. Now, it is clear that $K_r(T)k\in\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}$ for any $k\in\mathcal{H}$ and $0<r<1$. According to Remark 3.2 from [4], there is a Poisson transform μ satisfying the required conditions. The converse is straightforward. $\square$

We say that a set $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is compatible with $p=\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j\in\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ if, for any $\omega,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have either

$$\{\omega\alpha_1\beta,\dots,\omega\alpha_n\beta\}\subset\Sigma,\quad\text{or}\quad\{\omega\alpha_1\beta,\dots,\omega\alpha_n\beta\}\cap\Sigma=\emptyset. \tag{4.1}$$

A pair (Σ,Λ), $\Lambda\subset\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$, is called admissible if Σ is an admissible set in $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and compatible with each $p\in\Lambda$.

Remark 4.2. *If $\Sigma=\mathbb{F}_n^+$ or $\Sigma=\{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+:\ |\alpha|\le k\}$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}^+$, then the pair $(\Sigma,\mathcal{P}_h)$ is admissible for any set $\mathcal{P}_h\subset\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ of homogeneous polynomials. Moreover, $(\mathbb{F}_n^+,\Lambda)$ is admissible for any set $\Lambda\subset\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$.*

Let $\mathcal{P}_h\subset\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be a set of homogeneous polynomials and let J_h be the two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ generated by $\mathcal{P}_h$, i.e.,

$$J_h=\{\sum_{j=1}^k x_ip_iy_i:\ x_i,y_i\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,\ p_i\in\mathcal{P}_h,\ k\ge 1\}.$$

Consider the quotient algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+/J_h$ and denote by $\hat{p}$ the coset corresponding to $p\in\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$, i.e., $\hat{p}=p+J_h$. Notice that if q is any representative of the coset $\hat{p}$, then $q(B_1,\dots,B_n)=p(B_1,\dots,B_n)$, where $B_1,\dots,B_n$ are defined as above. Hence, the map $\Phi:\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+/J_h\to\mathcal{A}_n$ defined by $\Phi(\hat{p}):=p(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ is a homomorphism.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\Sigma,\mathcal{P}_h)$ be an admissible pair and let $\hat{L}:\Sigma/J_h\to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator-valued map. Then there is a Poisson transform $\rho:C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\hat{L}(\hat{p})=\rho(p(B_1,\dots,B_n))\hat{L}(\hat{g_0}),\quad \hat{p}\in\mathbb{C}\Sigma/J_h, \tag{4.2}$$

if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) *If $p=\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j\in\mathcal{P}_h$ and $\omega,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ are such that $\{\omega\alpha_1\beta,\dots,\omega\alpha_m\beta\}\subset\Sigma$, then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\hat{L}(\widehat{\omega\alpha_j\beta})=0. \tag{4.3}$$

(ii) *$K_1\le K_2$, where the kernels K_1 and K_2 are associated, as in (2.2) and (2.3), with the admissible set Σ and the map $L:\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $L(\sigma):=\hat{L}(\hat{\sigma})$, $\sigma\in\Sigma$.*

Proof. Let $\rho : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a Poisson transform satisfying (4.2). Then, for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_\Sigma$, we have

$$L(\alpha\beta) = \hat{L}(\widehat{\alpha\beta}) = \rho(B_\alpha)\hat{L}(\hat{\beta}) = \mu(S_\alpha)L(\beta),$$

where $\mu : C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is the Poisson transform associated to the row contraction $[\rho(B_1), \ldots, \rho(B_n)]$. Hence, μ is a representing Poisson transform for the map L and, according to Theorem 2.1, we have $K_1 \le K_2$. On the other hand, if $p = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j \in \mathcal{P}_h$ and $\omega, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ are such that $\{\omega\alpha_1\beta, \ldots, \omega\alpha_m\beta\} \subset \Sigma$, then $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j(\omega\alpha_j\beta)$ is in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$ and relation (4.2) implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \hat{L}(\widehat{\omega\alpha_j\beta}) = \rho(B_\omega)\rho(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j B_{\alpha_j})\rho(B_\beta)\hat{L}(\hat{g}_0).$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j B_{\alpha_j} = 0$, relation (4.3) is satisfied.

Conversely, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can find the operators $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, with the following properties:

(i) $[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ is a contraction;

(ii) $T_i L(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} L(g_i\sigma)h, & \text{if } g_i\sigma \in \Sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$

(iii) $T_i|\mathcal{M}^\perp = 0$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the closed linear span of all vectors $L(\sigma)h$, where $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $p = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j \in \mathcal{P}_h$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_h)$ is an admissible pair, we have either $\{\alpha_1\sigma, \ldots, \alpha_m\sigma\} \subset \Sigma$, or $\{\alpha_1\sigma, \ldots, \alpha_m\sigma\} \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$. Using the above properties, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j T_{\alpha_j} L(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{j=1}^m a_j L(\alpha_j\sigma)h, & \text{if } \{\alpha_1\sigma, \ldots, \alpha_m\sigma\} \subset \Sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

Using relation (4.3) and the property (iii), we deduce $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j T_{\alpha_j} = 0$, i.e., $p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_h$. According to Theorem 4.1, there is a Poisson transform $\rho : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\rho(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Now, it is easy to see that, if $\omega \in \Sigma$, then

$$\hat{L}(\hat{\omega}) = L(\omega) = T_\omega L(g_0) = \rho(B_\omega)\hat{L}(\hat{g}_0).$$

Therefore, relation (4.2) is satisfied. This completes the proof. □

As in Section 2, one can obtain a vector-valued version of Theorem 4.3. This provides a characterization for the orbits of contractive Hilbert modules over the quotient algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+/J_h$, where J_h is the two-sided ideal generated by $\mathcal{P}_h$. A more general result is presented in Section 5.

In what follows, we solve the operator-valued trigonometric moment problem for completely positive linear maps on the C^*-algbras $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ described above.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_h)$ be an admissible pair and let $\hat{L} : \Sigma/J_h \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator-valued map with $\hat{L}(\hat{g}_0) = I$. Then there is a completely positive linear map $\Phi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\hat{L}(\hat{p}) = \Phi(p(B_1, \ldots, B_n)), \quad \hat{p} \in \mathbb{C}\Sigma/J_h, \tag{4.4}$$

if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) *If* $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j \in \mathcal{P}_h$ *and* $\omega,\beta\in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ *are such that* $\{\omega\alpha_1\beta,\dots,\omega\alpha_m\beta\}\subset\Sigma$, *then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\hat{L}(\widehat{\omega\alpha_j\beta})=0.$$

(ii) *The kernel* $K:\Sigma\times\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$ *given by*

$$K(\sigma,\tau):=\begin{cases} L(\tau\backslash\sigma), & \text{if } \sigma\leq\tau\\ L(\sigma\backslash\tau)^*, & \text{if } \tau<\sigma\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

is positive semidefinite, where the map $L:\Sigma\to B(\mathcal{H})$ *is defined by* $L(\sigma):=\hat{L}(\hat{\sigma})$, $\sigma\in\Sigma$.

Proof. Assume there is a completely positive linear map $\Phi: C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that (4.4) holds. If $p=\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j\in\mathcal{P}_h$, then $p(B_1,\dots,B_n)=0$. Moreover, if $\omega,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ are such that $\{\omega\alpha_1\beta,\dots,\omega\alpha_m\beta\}\subset\Sigma$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\hat{L}(\widehat{\omega\alpha_j\beta})=\Phi[B_\omega p(B_1,\dots,B_n)B_\beta]=0.$$

On the other hand, let $\gamma: C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)\to C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ be the Poisson transform associated with the row contraction $[B_1,\dots,B_n]$. Then $\Phi\circ\gamma: C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely positive map such that $(\Phi\circ\gamma)(I)=I$ and

$$(\Phi\circ\gamma)(S_\sigma)=L(\sigma),\quad \sigma\in\Sigma.$$

Now, as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can prove that the kernel K is positive semidefinite.

Conversely, assume $K\geq 0$ and relation (4.3) holds. This part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3. Let us follow that proof and mention only the necessary changes. First, the subspace $\mathcal{E}$ should be defined by

$$\mathcal{E}:=\bigvee_{\tau\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,\ p\in\mathcal{P}_h} T_\tau p(T_1,\dots,T_n)\mathcal{K}.$$

According to (3.10), for any $f\in\mathcal{H}^\Sigma$ and $p=\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j\in\mathcal{P}_h$, we have

$$[p(T_1,\dots,T_n)f](t)=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma}\left[\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\delta_{\alpha_j\sigma}(t)\right]f(\sigma).$$

Hence, if $\tau\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $h\in\mathcal{H}$, then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h, T_\tau p(T_1,\dots,T_n)f\rangle_{\mathcal{K}} &=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma}\sum_{j=1}^m \bar{a_j}\langle K(\tau\alpha_j\sigma,g_0)h, f(\sigma)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\\ &=\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma}\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^m\bar{a_j}L(\tau\alpha_j\sigma)^*h, f(\sigma)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}},\end{aligned}$$

if $\{\omega\alpha_1\beta,\dots,\omega\alpha_m\beta\}\subset\Sigma$, and 0 otherwise. Here we used the fact that the pair $(\Sigma,\mathcal{P}_h)$ is admissible. By (4.4), we have $\sum_{j=1}^m a_jL(\tau\alpha_j\sigma)=0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}\subset\mathcal{G}:=\mathcal{K}\ominus\mathcal{E}$. Now, define $T_i':=P_\mathcal{G}T_i|\mathcal{G}$, $i=1,\dots,n$, and notice that $[T_1',\dots,T_n']$ is a row contraction such that $p(T_1',\dots,T_n')=0$ for any $p\in\mathcal{P}_h$. According to Theorem 4.1, there is a Poisson transform $\mu: C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{G})$ associated with $[T_1'\dots,T_n']$. Let $\Phi: C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the compression $\Phi(A) := P_{\mathcal{H}}\mu(A)|\mathcal{H}$, $A \in C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$. It is clear that Φ is a unital completely positive linear map. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi(B_\alpha)h, h'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle P_{\mathcal{H}}\mu(B_\alpha)h, h'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle T_\alpha h, h'\rangle_K \\ &= \sum_{\omega, t \in \Sigma_\pi} \left\langle K(t,\omega)\delta_\alpha(\omega)h, \delta_{g_0}(t)h'\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle K(g_0, \alpha)h, h'\rangle = \langle L(\alpha)h, h'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle \hat{L}(\hat{\alpha})h, h'\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$. The proof is complete. $\square$

5. MOMENT PROBLEMS FOR THE FREE PRODUCT SEMIGROUP $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$

Let G_i be any discrete subgroups of the real line $\mathbb{R}$, and let G_i^+ denote the positive cones $G_i^+ := G_i \cap [0, \infty)$. We assume that at least one subgroup G_i is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. The left regular representation of the free product semigroup $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ is a semigroup of isometries $\lambda(g)$ on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ with orthonormal basis $\{\xi_g : g \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+\}$, given by

$$\lambda(g)\xi_\sigma = \xi_{g\sigma}, \quad g, \sigma \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+.$$

The reduced semigroup C^*-algebra $C_r^*(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ is the C*-algebra generated by the left regular representation of $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. In this new setting, the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ is defined [11] as the norm-closed algebra generated by the left regular representation.

Consider representations T_i of G_i^+ as semigroups of contractions on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(g_i)T_i(g_i)^* < I, \qquad g_i \in G_i^+ \backslash \{0\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Such representations (uniquely) determine a contractive representation of the free product semigroup $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ by sending an element $\sigma = g_1 g_2 \dots g_k$, where $g_j \in G_{i_j}^+$, to the contraction

$$T(\sigma) := T_{i_1}(g_1)T_{i_2}(g_2)\dots T_{i_k}(g_k),$$

and $T(g_0) = I$, where g_0 denotes the neutral element of $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. The generalized Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ was defined in [11] as the universal C^*-algebra generated by isometric representations V_i of the semigroups G_i^+ satisfying condition (5.1). Extending some results of Douglas [14] and Cuntz [10], it was proved in [11] (see also [12], [13], [19], [11], [16], [17]) that if $n \geq 2$, then the C^*-algebra generated by V_i does not depend on the choice of the isometric representations V_i. Therefore $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) = C_r^*(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$. When $n = 1$, we set $\mathcal{O}(G^+) := C_r^*(G^+)$.

A map $\mu : \mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is called Poisson transform on the generalized Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ if it is a unital completely positive linear map such that

$$\mu(AX) = \mu(A)\mu(X), \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^* \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

According to [11], a map μ is a Poisson transform on $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ if and only if there are contractive representations T_i of G_i^+ on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the condition (5.1), and such that

$$\mu(\lambda(\sigma)\lambda(\tau)^*) = T_\sigma T_\tau^*, \quad \sigma, \tau \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+.$$

As in the previous sections, a set $\Sigma \subset *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ is called admissible if $\alpha\beta \in \Sigma$ implies $\beta \in \Sigma$. The following result is an extension of Theorem 2.1 to generalized Cuntz algebras.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\Sigma \subseteq *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ be an admissible set and let $L : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator-valued map. Then there is a Poisson transform $\mu : \mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$L(\sum a_\sigma \sigma) = \mu(\sum a_\sigma \lambda(\sigma))L(g_0) \tag{5.2}$$

for any polynomial $\sum a_\sigma \sigma \in \mathbb{C}\Sigma$ if and only if $K_1 \leq K_2$, where the kernels K_1, K_2 are defined as in (2.2) *and* (2.3).

Proof. Assume that $\mu : \mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a Poisson transform satisfying (5.2) and let $T_i(g_i) := \mu(\lambda(g_i)), g_i \in G_i^+$. Notice that T_i are contractive representations of G_i^+ on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying condition (5.1). According to [11] (see also [31], [18], and [22] for important particular cases obtained before), there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ and isometric representations V_i of G_i^+ on $\mathcal{K}$ such that

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n V_i(g_i)V_i(g_i)^* < I$ for all $g_i \in G_i^+\backslash\{0\}$.
- (ii) $V_i(g_i)^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_i(g_i)^*$ for all $g_i \in G_i^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Notice that if V is the representation of $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ associated with V_i, and $\sigma, \tau \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ are two elements such that neither is a multiple of the other, then $V(\sigma)$ and $V(\tau)$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges. Now, the proof of the direct implication is an extension of that of Theorem 2.1.

For the converse, we only sketch a proof. Assume $K_1 \leq K_2$. The representations V_i of G_i^+ are defined by $V_i(g_i)f = \varphi$, where φ is given by (2.4). It is easy to see that $V_i(g_i)$ is a partial isometry and $V_i(g_i)V_i(g_i') = V_i(g_i')V_i(g_i)$ for any $g_i, g_i' \in G_i^+$. Moreover, $V_i(G_i\backslash\{0\})$ has range contained in the closed span of functions supported on

$$\mathcal{R}_i := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda_\Sigma : \ \alpha = g_i\tau \text{ for } g_i \in G_i\backslash\{0\}, \tau \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+\}.$$

The definition of K_2 guarantees that the subspaces $\mathcal{R}_i$ are pairwise orthogonal. Hence the representations V_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, satisfy condition (5.1). Define the representation T_i of G_i^+ on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$T_i(g_i) := XV_i(g_i)X^* \quad \text{ if } g_i \in G_i^+\backslash\{0\},$$

and $T_i(0) := I$, where the operator X is given by (2.6). Clearly the representations T_i also satisfy condition (5.1) and

$$T_i(g_i)L(\sigma)h = L(g_i\sigma)h$$

if $g_i\sigma \in \Sigma$, $g_i \in G_i^+$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence $T(\omega)L(g_0) = L(\omega)$, $\omega \in \Sigma$, where T is the contractive representation of $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ associated with T_i. Let $\mu : \mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the Poisson transform associated with T_i. Since $\mu(\lambda(\sigma)) = T(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$, we infer that $L(\omega) = \mu(\lambda(\omega))L(g_0)$, $\omega \in \Sigma$, and the proof is complete.

□

We remark that all the results of Section 2 hold true in this new setting. To get the analogues just replace $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ by the generalized Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$. The proofs remain essentially the same. Let us mention that an analogue of the classical trigonometric moment problem for $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ was obtained in [11].

Concerning the commutative case, let us first remark that there are nontrivial contractive representations $T : \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T_i := T|G_i^+$ satisfies condition (5.1). Indeed, define the subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M} := \bigvee_{\omega,\sigma,\tau\in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+} \lambda(\omega)[\lambda(\sigma)\lambda(\tau) - \lambda(\tau)\lambda(\sigma)]\ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+),$$

where λ is the left regular representation of the free product semigroup $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ on $\ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$. Notice that the subspace $\mathcal{N} := \ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \ominus \mathcal{M}$ is invariant under each $\lambda(\omega)^*$, $\omega \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. Let $\Psi : \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+ \to B(\mathcal{N})$ be given by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{g}) := P_{\mathcal{N}}\lambda(g_1)\cdots\lambda(g_n)|\mathcal{N}, \quad \mathbf{g} = (g_1,\ldots,g_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+.$$

It is clear now that Ψ is a nontrivial representation satisfying the inequality (5.1). Notice that in the particular case when $G_i^+ = \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$, the C^*-algebra generated by $\{\Psi(\mathbf{g}) : \mathbf{g} \in \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+\}$ coincides with the Toeplitz algebra $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$, where $B_1,\ldots,B_n$ are the creation operators on the symmetric Fock space.

The commutative semigroup $\prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ is endowed with the product order $\ll$. We denote by $\mathbf{g}_0$ its neutral element. A set $\Pi \subset \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ is called admissible if $\mathbf{g} \in \Pi$ and $\mathbf{f} \ll \mathbf{g}$ imply $\mathbf{f} \in \Pi$. There is a canonical homomorphism φ of $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ onto $\prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ such that it is the identity on each G_i^+. Notice that if Π is an admissible set for $\prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+$, then

$$\Sigma_\pi := \{\sigma \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+ : \varphi(\sigma) \in \Pi\}$$

is an admissible set for $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. We associate with each map $\Gamma : \Pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$ another map $L_\Gamma : \Sigma_\pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting $L_\Gamma(\sigma) := \Gamma(\varphi(\sigma))$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\Pi \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ be an admissible set and let $\Gamma : \Pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$. Then there is a contractive representation $T : \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the condition* (5.1) *such that*

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{g}) = T(\mathbf{g})\Gamma(\mathbf{g}_0), \quad \mathbf{g} \in \Pi, \tag{5.3}$$

if and only if $K_1 \le K_2$, where the kernels K_1 and K_2 are associated with the admissible set $\Sigma_\pi \subset *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ and the map $L_\Gamma : \Sigma_\pi \to B(\mathcal{H})$, as defined by (2.2) *and* (2.3).

Proof. Assume $T : \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a contractive representation satisfying conditions (5.1) and (5.3). As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, there is an isometric representation V of $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ which dilates the contractive representation of $*_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ associated with $T_i := T|G_i^+$, $i = 1\ldots,n$. For any $(\alpha,\beta) \in \Lambda_{\Sigma_\pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Gamma(\alpha\beta) &= \Gamma(\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta)) \\ &= T(\varphi(\alpha))\Gamma(\varphi(\beta)) \\ &= P_{\mathcal{H}}V(\alpha)L_\Gamma(\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Now, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, one can show that $K_1 \le K_2$.

Conversely, assume $K_1 \le K_2$. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1 (see also Theorem 5.1), we find representations T_i of G_i^+ on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by $T_i(g_i) := XV_i(g_i)X^*$, $g_i \in G_i^+$, such that the condition (5.1) holds and

$$T_i(g_i)L_\Gamma(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} L_\Gamma(g_i\sigma)h, & \text{if } g_i\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, due to the definitions of Σ_π, L_Γ and K_2, we infer that

$$T_i(g_i)T_j(g_j) = T_j(g_j)T_i(g_i), \quad g_i \in G_i^+, g_j \in G_j^+.$$

Let $T : \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be the representation associated with T_i. If $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \Pi$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\mathbf{g})h &= L_\Gamma(g_1 \cdots g_n)h \\ &= T_1(g_1) \cdots T_n(g_n) L_\Gamma(g_0)h \\ &= T(\mathbf{g})\Gamma(\mathbf{g}_0)h.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

□

If $\Pi \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ is an admissible set, we associate with any map $N : \Pi \to \mathcal{H}$ another map $M_N : \Sigma_\pi \to \mathcal{H}$ by setting $M_N(\sigma) := N(\varphi(\sigma))$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_\pi$. As in Section 3, one can use Theorem 5.2 to obtain the following vector-valued moment problem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Π be an admissible set in $\prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ and let $N : \Pi \to \mathcal{H}$ be a map. Then there is a contractive representation $T : \prod_{i=1}^n G_i^+ \to B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying condition* (5.1) *such that*

$$N(\mathbf{g}) = T(\mathbf{g})N(\mathbf{g}_0), \quad \mathbf{g} \in \Pi,$$

if and only if $K_3 \leq K_4$, where the kernels K_3 and K_4 are associated with the admissible set $\Sigma_\pi \subset *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ and the map $M_N : \Sigma_\pi \to \mathcal{H}$, as defined by (2.11) *and* (2.12).

In what follows we solve the operator-valued moment problem for Poisson transforms on the generalized Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$, satisfying polynomial identities. The result provides, in particular, a characterization for the orbits of contractive Hilbert modules over the quotient algebra $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$, where J is a two-sided ideal of the free semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. First, let us show that, in general, there are nontrivial representations Ψ of $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(\hat{g}_i)\Psi(\hat{g}_i)^* \leq I, \quad g_i \in G_i^+ \backslash \{0\}. \tag{5.5}$$

Define the subspace $\mathcal{M}_J$ of $\ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M}_J := \bigvee_{\omega \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+,\ \sum a_\sigma \sigma \in J} \lambda(\omega) \left[\sum a_\sigma \lambda(\sigma)\right] \ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+).$$

Clearly, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J := \ell^2(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \ominus \mathcal{M}_J$ is invariant under each $\lambda(\omega)^*$, $\omega \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. Notice that if $\mathcal{N}_J \neq \mathbb{C}$, then the map $\Psi : \mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J \to B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ given by

$$\Psi(\widehat{\sum a_\sigma \sigma}) := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \left(\sum a_\sigma \lambda(\sigma)\right) |\mathcal{N}_J$$

is a nontrivial representation of $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$, satisfying (5.5).

Theorem 5.4. *Let (Σ, J) be an admissible pair where $\Sigma \subset *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ and J is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$. Let $\hat{L} : \Sigma/J \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator-valued map. Then there is a Poisson transform $\mu : \mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

(i) $\mu(\sum a_\sigma \lambda(\sigma)) = 0$ *for any* $\sum a_\sigma \sigma \in J$, *and*
(ii) $\hat{L}(\widehat{\sum a_\sigma \sigma}) = \mu(\sum a_\sigma \lambda(\sigma))\hat{L}(\hat{g}_0)$, *for any* $\widehat{\sum a_\sigma \sigma} \in \mathbb{C}\Sigma/J$,

if and only if the following conditions hold:

(iii) *If* $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j \in J$ *and* $\omega, \beta \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+$ *are such that* $\{\omega\alpha_1\beta, \ldots, \omega\alpha_m\beta\} \subset \Sigma$*, then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \hat{L}(\widehat{\omega\alpha_j\beta}) = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

(iv) $K_1 \leq K_2$*, where the kernels* K_1 *and* K_2 *are associated, as in* (2.2) *and* (2.3)*, with the admissible set* Σ *and the map* $L : \Sigma \to B(\mathcal{H})$ *defined by* $L(\sigma) := \hat{L}(\hat{\sigma})$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $\hat{L} : \Sigma/J \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be such that the conditions (i) and (ii) hold. For any $\alpha \in \Sigma$, we have

$$L(\alpha) = \hat{L}(\hat{\alpha}) = \mu(\lambda(\alpha))\hat{L}(\hat{g}_0) = \mu(\lambda(\alpha))L(g_0).$$

According to Theorem 5.1, we deduce that $K_1 \leq K_2$. Moreover, if $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j \in J$ and $\omega, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ are such that $\{\omega\alpha_1\beta, \ldots, \omega\alpha_m\beta\} \subset \Sigma$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \hat{L}(\widehat{\omega\alpha_j\beta}) = \mu(\lambda(\omega))\mu\left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\lambda(\alpha_j)\right)\mu(\lambda(\beta))\hat{L}(\hat{g}_0) = 0.$$

Conversely, assume the conditions (iii) and (iv) hold. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we define the representations T_i of G_i^+ on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying the condition (5.1), the relation

$$T_i(g_i)L(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} L(g_i\sigma)h, & \text{if } g_i\sigma \in \Sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $T(\omega)|\mathcal{M}^\perp = 0$, $\omega \in *_{i=1}^n G_i^+ \backslash \{g_0\}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the closed span of all vectors $L(\sigma)h$ with $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence, we get $L(\omega) = T(\omega)L(g_0)$ for any $\omega \in \Sigma$. On the other hand, since (Σ, J) is an admissible pair, if $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j \in J$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_jT(\alpha_j)\right)L(\sigma)h = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m a_jL(\alpha_j\sigma)h, & \text{if } \{\alpha_1\sigma, \ldots, \alpha_m\sigma\} \subset \Sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, using relation (5.6), we deduce $\sum_{j=1}^m a_jT(\alpha_j) = 0$ for any $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j\alpha_j \in J$. Consider $\mu : \mathcal{O}(*_{i=1}^n G_i^+) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ to be the Poisson transform associated with the representation T. Now, it is easy to see that, if $\omega \in \Sigma$, then

$$\hat{L}(\hat{\omega}) = L(\omega) = T_\omega L(g_0) = \mu(\lambda(\omega))\hat{L}(\hat{g_0}).$$

The proof is complete. □

Let us remark that the Poisson transform μ of Theorem 5.4 gives rise to a homomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\Psi(\widehat{\sum a_\sigma\sigma}) := \mu(\sum a_\sigma\lambda(\sigma)),$$

which satisfies inequality (5.5). The conditions (i) and (ii) of the same theorem can be written as $\hat{L}(f) = \Psi(f)\hat{L}(\hat{g_0})$ for any $f \in \mathbb{C}\Sigma/J$. Moreover, the homomorphism Ψ give rise to a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$ in the natural way $f \cdot h := \Psi(f)h$, $f \in \mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Finally, we shoud mention that, as in Section 2, a vector-valued version of Theorem 5.4 can easily be obtained. This provides a characterization for the orbits of Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C} *_{i=1}^n G_i^+/J$.

References

[1] N.I. Akhiezer: *The classical moment problem*, Olivier and Boyd, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1965.

[2] A. Arias: Projective modules on Fock spaces, preprint.

[3] A. Arias and G. Popescu: Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms II, *Houston J. Math.* **25** (1999), 79–98.

[4] A. Arias and G. Popescu: Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 205–234.

[5] W.B. Arveson: Subalgebras of C^*-algebras *Acta Math.* **123** (1969), 141–224.

[6] W.B. Arveson: Subalgebras of C^*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, *Acta Math.* **181** (1998), 159–228.

[7] W.B. Arveson: The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, *J. Reine Angev. Math.* **522** (2000), 173–236.

[8] B.V.R. Bhat, T. Bhattacharyya: A model theory for q-commuting contractive tuples, *J. Operator Theory*, to appear.

[9] B.V.R. Bhat, T. Bhattacharyya, and S. Dey: Standard noncommuting and commuting dilations of commuting tuples, preprint.

[10] J. Cuntz: Simple C^*–algebras generated by isometries: *Commun.Math.Phys.* **57** (1977), 173–185.

[11] K.R. Davidson and G. Popescu: Noncommuting disc algebras for semigroups, *Can. J. Math.* **50** (1998), 290–311.

[12] H.T. Dinh: Discrete product systems and their C^*–algebras, *J. Func. Anal.* **102** (1991), 1–34.

[13] H.T. Dinh; On generalized Cuntz C^*–algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **30** (1993), 123–135.

[14] R.G. Douglas: On the C*-algebra of a one-parameter semigroup of isometries, *Acta Math.* **128** (1972), 143–152.

[15] D. Kribs: The curvature invariant of a non-commuting N-tuple, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, to appear.

[16] M. Laca and I. Raeburn: Semigroup crossed products and the Toeplitz algebras of non-abelian groups, *J. Func. Anal.* **139** (1996), 415–440.

[17] M. Laca: Purely infinite simple Toeplitz algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **41** (1999), no. 2, 421–435

[18] W. Mlak: Unitary dilations in case of ordered groups, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **17** (1960), 321–328.

[19] A. Nica: C*-algebras generated by isometries and Wiener–Hopf operators, *J. Operator Theory* **27** (1992), 17–52.

[20] V.I. Paulsen: *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986.

[21] G. Pisier: *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Springer Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 1618, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995

[22] G. Popescu: Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.

[23] G. Popescu: Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.

[24] G. Popescu: Noncommutative disc algebras and their representations, *Proc. Amer. Math.* **124** (1996), 2137–2148

[25] G. Popescu: Positive-definite functions on free semigroups, *Can. J. Math.* **48** (1996), 887–896.

[26] G. Popescu: Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61

[27] G. Popescu: Commutant lifting, tensor algebras, and functional calculus, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.* **44** (2001), 389-406.

[28] G. Popescu: Curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over free semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **158** (2001), 264–309.

[29] G. Popescu: Similarity and ergodic theory of positive linear maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, to appear.

[30] W.F. Stinespring: Positive functions on C^*-algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math.* **6** (1955), 211–216

[31] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş: *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, New York 1970.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA

E-mail address: `gpopescu@math.utsa.edu`